Houdy (1764) 1019 (x2015, At 07:06 1969 1019 (x2015, At 07:06 1979 1019 (x2015, At 07:06 1019 (x2015, At 07:07) 1019 (x2015





Cultural Studies



The Rise of Neoliberal Feminism

Bibglactifie (b) chippin A yitacijija) (b) (b) (b) (b) jija) (b) yitacija) (b) dit yitacija)	lg blg och göngsige 19 BBX (blicededda	<u>þ</u> //
--	---	-------------

Catherine Rottenberg

THE RISE OF NEOLIBERAL FEMINISM

In this paper, I argue that we are currently witnessing the emergence of n

shelves and instantly becther are a ork Tinbes t-seller. These self-declared

feminist (and not simply a female) subject. Individuated in the extreme, this subject is feminist in the sense that she is distinctly aware of current inequalitie between men and women. This same subject is, however, simultaneously neoliberal, not only because she disavows the social, cultural and economic identities subsequently emerge. One of the hallmarks of our neoliberal a Brown proposes, is precisely the casting of every human endeavor and ac in entrepreneurial terms (p. 40).

while displacing and replacing their content, this recuperated feminism forges feminist subject who is not only individualized but entrepreneurial in the sense that she is oriented towards optimizing her resources through incessant calculation, personal initiative and innovation. Indeed, creative individual solutions are presented as feminist and progressive, while calibrating a felicito work-family balance becomes her main task. Inequality between men and women is thus paradoxically acknowledged only to be disavowed, and the question of social justice is recast in personal, individualized terms.

The liberal husk of Lean In

Lean lines a site in which we can very clearly discern the processes by and through which liberal feminism is disarticulated, and the neoliberal feminist subject is born. The book is a mixture of personal anecdotes, motivational language, and journalism of which is larded with the facts and statistics.

It is a quick read, and Sandberg is careful to introduce pithy and catchy phrase as a way of attracting as wide an audience as possible. Moreover, she se consciously details how she would like her text to be read: Sandberg mentions by name countries that have been represented endles. Western media as torn apart by Islamic extremism. This, as Ann Norton h persuasively argued, is part of an Islamaphobic discourse that endlessly d the Muslim world as particularly hostile to women, which then serves to sho up US national sentiment and nation building (p. 67).

ButLean Idoes not ultimately use this anti-Islam trope to 'the parached feminists and other potential critics away from the continuing oppression women in the We\$Norton2013 p. 67). Instead, Sandberg turns a critical eye on the USA itself, declaring that despite tremendous progress there is work to be done, particularly when it comes to women occupying positions power and leadership. In government, in industry, and in corporations, she t

are no longer obstructed by discriminatory laws and exclusionary institutions what are the causes of (white middle class's wormiend inequality in the USA? If Betty Friedstandbjective was to uncover the powerful cultural norms and pressures of femininity, namely, the feminine mystique, which kept white middle-class women in the domestic sphere in the post- Second World War era, Naomi Woodfaim was to expose the way in which contemporary ideals of female beautyendlessly produced in the mass-media to create an atmosphere of self-loathing and psychological warfare among a new generati of middle-class women who had grown up in the wake of the women movement and who were entering the public sphere in record numbers. Sandberg, too, is addressing a similar question (and a similarly privileged whit [upper] middle-class audience), and like Friedan, she is ultimately interested i encouraging women to pursue professional Yeteerscontrast to both Friedan and Wollfean is focus is decidedly not on confronting or changing sociabressures, but rather on what men can change themset lives, internal obstaclesandber2013 p. 10). The shift in emphasis: from an attempt to alter social pressures towards interiorized affective spaces that require constant self-monitoring is precisely the node through which liberal feminism is rendered hollow and transmuted into a mode of neoliberal governmentality.

The demand for self-realization and self-transformation is, of course, nothing new in the USA. It was as Christine Sta0s@IIh(as so meticulously documented, a central part of the wsomewement in the 1970s and has a much longer history in US culture: from the American Dream discourse and the Horatio Alger myth, through New Age cults and contemporary meditation and yoga trends. Indeed, Sandberg draws on a wide variety of recognizabl American discourses, such as American exceptionalism, as well as the high profitable how-to-succeed literary genre, some of which she explicitly acknowledges and some of which serve as the implicit palimpsest for her brar of feminism. Anne Appleba20013 describesean has thefirst truly successful, best-setlingv to succeed in busimestivational book to be explicitly designed and marketed to wormendespite the hype surrounding its publication, there is nothing particularly new about's Sandberg Applebaum clairescepte fact of its female authorship and its target audience.

While Applebaus mcritique is timely in that it highlights the specifically entrepreneurial aspected in Inthis kind of criticism ultimately fails to underscore what is indeed new in feminist manifestos like. Stawe berg understance an las a significant intervention in the feminist discussion, which I believe we must, then the book can be read as marking (and marketing) a change in current articulations of mainstream liberal feminism and as participating in the production of a new feminist subject. This subject willingly and forcibly acknowledges continued gender inequality but, as I show, her feminism is so individuated that it has been completely unmoored from any notion of social hequality and consequently cannot offer any sustained analy of the structures of male dominance, power, or privilege. In this emerge feminism, then, there is a liberal wrapping, while the **constant**, its mode of operations neoliberal through and through.

Tipping the scales: metamorphosing liberalism into neoliberalism

True to its title,

treatment, equal institutional access, and switchintegration into the public sphere are expediently elided, while climbing the power hierarchy ultimately becomther eminist objective. Through the sobiliting discursive registers en In

ramifications of male dominance and sexism is exempetay lives, these lean in groups are geared to encourage womeptay the peorporate game more deftly (McRobbi 2013 p. 24). The very conception of encouraging women in these groups dan into their individual careers is antithetical to working together towards comp goal. What is reinforced and (re) produced in these groups, then, is precisely the entrepreneurial subject who is encourto take her own personal initiative in order to improve her career prospec particularly in the corporate world.

The last chapterLetan lis entitledWorking Together Toward Equality. The trajectory of this final chapter parallels the process of liberal feminisr disarticulation in the book more generally: initially summoning the hallowe and today uncontroversial liberal political principle of formal equality, Sandb very quickly moves on to personal anecdotes as well as expressions of co about the increasing numbers of high potential womeroff the managing the career track, particularly when they have children, concluding with her now familiar solution to the stalled revolution: more women in positions of power. There is no dwelling on the signification equality beyond the trickle downstatement that it will be achieved only when morenisemen to the top of every government and every in (Stastober 2013 p. 159). Indeed, with lightning speed, the text moves from its mention of equality t honing in on encouraging womesseeks challenges and leafs and berg 2013. The chapter then ends with a passionate exhortation to individu women to strive to reach the highest echelons of their respective organizati This is a strange concept of working together-ieview from a liberal feminist perspectiveince each woman is urged to set her own goals within her own career path and then reach for them with gusto. Working together the is not-working separately for a similar but separate goal, perhaps.

In these final pages Sandberg ironically converts the working of togetherinto its polar opposite. Moreover, she confidently assumes that havi more women in the leadership position will automatically ensure faire treatment for all women, because shared experience leads to empathy (p. 1 This is exactly the kind of top down approach for which many feminists have already harshly criticized Sandbergonly is the address directed to a tiny number of women, but her whole agenda operates to inculcate the norms of market, which divide rather than unify even these extremely privileged wome. While this is a key point, my focus here, however, is less on the kinds exclusions upon which this kind of feminism is prevaluate digain, many critics have rightly been quick to underscore on the hows and whys of its emergence, even though these aspects are, of course, inextrication implicated in one another.

No longer concerned with classic liberal feminist notion sequadh as moral personholood each persobreing an end in and of heredelic have a long history in the West and in the USA (Staths Albbey 201), this new

feminism inaugurates a subject who is being called propriote the flor [her] own needs and service [her] own am (Entromor 2006 p. 694). She may conceive of herself as an end, but everyone else becomes mere means. The feminist subject moral

most likely) be found by following a particular path: only certain choices c bring women in closer proximity to well-being and true feminist consciousne

Furthermore, the notion of pursuing happiness is identified with a economic model of sorts in which each woman is asked to calculate the r balance between work and family. The promise of emancipation and happit this feminism holds out hinges not only's ractine desire to cultivate a profession and having a spouse and children, but also arbitityeto calibrate a perfect equilibrium between the private and the public spher Happiness, therefore, plays a crucial role in this new feminism: it becomes objective of a particular calculus, functions as a normalizing matrix, and se to deflect attention away from the process by which neoliberal feminism rapidly displacing mainstream liberal feminism.

As I have argued elsewhere, advobatipgvark-family balance is one of the ways in which the emergent feminism disavows the gender contradictions constitutive of the public-private divide within the liberal imagination, while simultaneously providing fertile ground for the expansi of neoliberal rationality. The widespread mobilization and acceptance of terms, such ashappywork-family balance operate, in other words, to shore up the gendered presuppositions that make the liberal production of space possil namely, the public-private distinction hile allowing for the continued evisceration of the foundations upon which that spatiality has been built. The of pursuing happiness consequently not only orients us away from counterin rise of neoliberal feminism, but also from attempting to imagine spatiality a social relations in new ways.

To make good on the new millensniferminist promise, then, it seems that progressive motions women are compelled and encouraged to pursu happiness through constructing a self-tailor feathing the lance. The turn to a notion of a happy balance, moreover, helps to further convert mainstre liberal feminism from a discoutese if tangentiall concerned with social pressures to one that produces a subject who is constantly turned inwa monitoring herself. After all, the goal of crafting and maintaining a felicitor equilibrium- which might entail, for instance, making up lost time with children after investing too many hours at work, or finding creative solutions unexpected conflicts, such as planning an important conference call after childrens bedtime is elusive, since well-being is famously difficult to gauge, but, as a consequence of affect elusiveness, requires constant calculation and optimizing of personal resources. Thus, the quest for not just a sa equilibrium but a satisfying equilibrium further inscribes an entrepreneur subject and a market rationasity ce in order to be successful and content, even for a period of time, efficiency, innovation and a cost-benefit calculus paramount.

This new feminist norm appears to have already taken hold in the L cultural imagination. In a July 12, 2013 articleNewtheork Timfes

example, Kate Taylor describes a rising phenomenon among middle-class undergraduate women in elite universities. Holding up women like Sandberg, Slaughter and Marissa Mayer as their role¹²magdebs, describes how potentially high-achieving young women are no longer interested in investing in relationships during their college_yearss when they feel they need to be concerned with building their professional resumés. The reasons these univers students give for their decision to to to to the buildies ther than boyfriends is the 'low risk and low investment of hookingTheeir orientation is one thoroughly informed by a cost-benefit metrics. Importantly, however, these women do not reject the family part of the equation. Rather, the women interviewed by Taylor declared that they would likely defer marriage until their late 20s or early 30s when they felt they had already established themselve professionally. This careful calculation in the present, in other words, will make it possible to craft that elusive_fertily balance later on.

Sandbeing 'how-to-reinvigorate-femihisprogramme is not only a New York Tinbest-seller, but her TED talks have attracted millions of viewers. Her message, thouse the indicated not unique. Indeed, the buzz surrounding Sandbeingok occurred in the wake of the media hype precisely to facilitate each wismability to continue cultivating their professional ambitions while fulfilling their desire for a satisfying family lif Slaughter does gesture more towards the need for institutional change to Sandberg, yet change is ultimately understood as the consequence of powered women taking personal initiative and demanding things like fit time. Moreover, Slaughter calls upon the same elite cadre of highly success women- thus initiating the identical top-down, elitist and exclusionary approach. The very turn to a language of affect, namely, the importance of pursuit opersonalappiness (through balance), unravels any **soctian** of inequality by placing the responsibility of well-being, as well as the burden unhappiness, once again, on the shoulders of individual women.

Even in the heyday of the feminist movement in the early 1970s, the call self-transformation or self-empowerment was accompanied by some form critique of systemic male domination and/or structural discrimination. Toda by contrast, the emergent feminism is contracting, shining its spotlight, as was the onus of responsibility on each female subject while turning that sub even more intensively inward. As a result, neoliberal femimism is surprisingly purging itself of all elements that would orient it outwards, towards the public good. Yet, simply claiming that this discourse is not reafeminist or constitutes some sort of backlashragafienstinism is too easy and, I believe, misguided, both because such a claim assumes that there i true definition of feminism (andweahave or know it), and because it misses the opportunity to understand the kind of cultural work the emergence of neoliberal feminism which tracts likeean land Why Women Still Can Have It Allreflect and (re)produces currentlydoing.

By way of conclusion, I would like to offer a set of speculations about w we are witnessing the emergence of a neoliberal feminism. To begin with, i important to ask the question of why neoliberalism acknowledges and reviv discourse about continued gender inequality at all. This in and of itself se somewhat paradoxical, given neoliberdismergard and steady eros of Downloaded by [University of Sussex Library] at 07:06 19 May 2015

progressive superiority (2007 Enc2010, neoliberal feminism may be the latest discursive modality to (re)produce the USA as the bastion of progres liberal democracy. Rather than deflecting internal criticism by shining t spotlight of oppressive practices onto other countries while overtly showca its progressive superiority, this discursive formation actually generates its internal critique of the USA. Yet it simultaneously inscribes and circumscril the permissible parameters of that very same internal critique. In this way, USA can continue touting its much more enlightened because self-critical always-improgrammed and a second and a second always improgrammed and a second always improve a second a second as second always improve a second as second always improve a second alwa as the benchmark for civilization. This, too, helps to neutralize criticism fro other strands of feminism, as well as from other countries about continu gender inequality inside the USA, helps to forget, yet again, racial inequality focusing on a post-racial and individualizates silvereminist subjected serves to justify continued imperialist intervention in countries that do n respect the liberal principle of gender equality. On the other hand, the tu 'inward - both to the USA and into interiorized affective-speapesto further entrench neoliberalismessponsibilizingomen and by producing individuated feminist subjects who have transmuted liberation into self-care melded neoliberal rationality with an emancipatory project.

It seems clear that there is fertile ground for the emergent neoliberation feminism. The fact that Sandberg and Slaughter have so guickly become h visible representatives of mainstream feminism seems to point to a much bro truth about contemporary US society. Rather than end on a defeatist no however, I suggest that we need to return to the insights of Statt Hall (and Wendy Larne 2000, who have been careful to underscore that neoliberalism is not a seamless monolithic apparatus. Despite the power influence of neoliberal rationality, it is also constantly generating interr contradictions and incoherencies. Consequently, if there are still to alternative visions to the oliberalization of everythithen it may be more urgent than ever to change our own critical orientation. Rather that simply rejecting or denouncing these neoliberal feminist manifestos, perhap may do better by identifying and working within the potential fault lines of the logic and conceits. To begin with, then, we could highlight the gapin irreconcilability of the notion trafe gender equality ith the turn towards happiness and intricate processes of individuation. After all, the turn to posi affect and to intensified individuation in neoliberal feminism is exactly the to away from the questions of social justice, and the common good that were, a very least, a source of tension within classic liberal feminism. Indeed, gla inconsistencies emerge as these manifestos move from a discourse of equa and social justice to a discourse of positive allegt. Whomen Still Can Have It All, for example, Slaughter acknowledges that the crisis she explores one that is most relevant figh potential pwardly mobile women, and yet she calls fonational appiness project. If the feminism that Slaughter advocate does not address and cannot take into account the reality of the vast majority of US women, then a national project it clearly is not. Thus, while underscoring these contradictions and incoherencies, we would also do well to point out that the personal well-being of women like Sandberg and Slaughter, who likely constitute less than 0.1% of the general population, is increasingly coming at the expense of the 99.9%, namely, the overwhelming majority of poor, working class, and middle-class women in the USA.

Acknowledgements

I would like to extend a profound thank you to the anonymous readers as well as to David Eng, Sara Farris, Neve Gordon, Moon-Kie Jung, Angela McRobbie, Jacinda Swanson, Joan Scott, Niza Yanay, Danielle Allen and Lila Corwin Berman for their insights and very helpful comments.

Notes

- 1 Wikipedia Entry on Anne-Marie Slaughter. Availato Jeent: wikipedia. org/wiki/Anne-Marie_Slaughtercessed July 30, 2013]
- 2 See, for example, Sud 220th 3(
- 3 Professor Slaughter was the first woman to hold the position of Director of Policy Planning in the State Department.
- 4 Kantor 2(013).
- 5 See, Kanto2013, Huffer 2013, and Eisenste2013.
- 6 See, for example, Rotten 1220103 (and Eisenste 2013).
- 7 In many ways Fraseurrent argument can be seen to recapitulate her earlier indictment of the decoupling under the politics from social pol(10997 p. 2). In Justice Interruptus, she describes the increasing tendency of social movements and feminists to privilege recognition over redistribution. Her

McRobbie whose concern is primarily with what she terms the new norm of 'maternal-familialishing taims to reify the nuclear family structure as an enterprise, thus legitimizing the extinction of public-setwices is on the way neoliberal feminism is not only eviscerating liberal feminism but helping to produce a particular kind of femais is posed to a female subject.

- 9 It is perhaps important to remember the atteminine My, spiqbleshed in 1963, is not only considered part of the liberal feminist tradition but is ofted credited with sparking the beginning of second-wave TeeniBisanuty Mythby contrast, was first published in 1990, at a time when the term post feminism was gaining currency's // text is often considered part of so-called third-wave feminism as well as a critique of liberal feminist assumptions. What is interesting, however, is that despite the man differences between these feminist manifestos, they all return to a similiberal paradox.
- 10 See, for example, Rottenberg and Huffer.
- 11 I have also argued that this new feminis/hadeaterm the Balanced Woman

- Aslan, Ö. & Gambetti, Z. (2019) ovincializing Fraseristory: feminism and neoliberalism revisited istory of the Present1, no. 1, pp. 13047.
- Barry, A., Osborne, T. & Rose, N. S. (1900)cault and Political Reason: Liberalism, Neo-liberalism, and Rationalities of Coorgon themittersity of Chicago Press.
- Brown, W. (2005)Neo-liberalism and the end of liberal demodifieory & Eventvol. 7, no. 1, pp. 3739.
- Brown, W. (2006) American nightmare: neoliberalism, neoconservatism, and dedemocratization Political Theoryl. 34, no. 6, pp. 697014.
- Eisenstein, H. (2007) minism Seduced: How Global Elite's Used Nondelideas to Exploit the Woldboulder, CO, Paradigm.
- Eisenstein, Z. (2013) eaning inn Iraq, Available alttp://www.aljazeera. com/indepth/opinion/2013/03/2013323141149557391.html
- Eng, D. L. (2010) he Feeling of Kinship: Queer Liberalism and the Racialization IntimacyDurham, NC, Duke University Press.
- Farris, S. R. (2012) emonationalism and "the gular armyof labor called migrant women History of the Present 2, no. 2, pp. 18499.
- Foucault, Met al (1991)The Foucault Effect: Studies in Gove Chinega lity University of Chicago Press.
- Fraser, N. (1997) stice Interruptus: Critical Reflectionstation New York, Routledge.
- Fraser, N. (2013) ortunes of Feminism: From State-managed Capitalism to Neol CrisisLondon, Verso Books.
- Gordon, N. (2002) n visibility and power: an Arendtian corrective of Foucault Human Studies. 25, no. 2, pp. 12545.
- Hall, S. (2011)The neo-liberal revolution dultural Studies. 25, no. 6, pp. 705-728.
- Harvey, D. (2005) Brief History of Neolib, etcalford, Oxford University Press.
- Huffer, L. (2013)t's the Economy SistAvailable ahttp://www.aljazeera. com/indepth/opinion/2013/03/201331885644977848.html
- Kantor, J. (2013) Titan's How-To on Breaking the Glass Celience York Times21 February, Available hettp://www.nytimes.com/2013/02/ 22/us/sheryl-sandberg-lean-in-rabidpos-to-spur-movement.html?page wanted=all&_r=0
- Larner, W. (2000)Neo-liberalism: policy, ideology, governmer Stalidiyes in Political Econoral 63, pp. 525.
- Lemke, T. (2002) Foucault, governmentality, and critic ethinking Marxism vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 404.
- McRobbie, A. (2009)

- Puar, J. K. (2007) errorist Assemblages: Homonationalism ,irDQutagen, Times NC, Duke University Press.
- Rose, N. (1993)Government, authority and expertise in advanced liberalism Economy and Society2, no. 3, pp. 28299.
- Rottenberg, C. (2018) jacking Feminis Available altttp://www.aljazeera. com/indepth/opinion/2013/03/201332510121757700.html
- Rottenberg, C. (2014) ontaining the liberal imagination: how superwoman became balance for the minist Studiorsh coming.
- Sandberg, S. (20118) In: Women, Work, and the WilNtew Lead, Alfred A. Knopf.
- Stansell, C. (2010) he Feminist Promise: 1792 to the event, Modern Library.
- Suddath, C. (201**S**heryl Sandseilgean InBrand Goes Globbal://www. businessweek.com/articles/2013-03-22/sheryl-sandbergs-lean-in-brand-gc globa(accessed 22 March 2013)